

**WML Information Literacy Instruction Assessment 2018-19  
Classroom Activity Report – Individual**

**Faculty Librarian:** Kelly Banyas

**Semester:** Fall 2018

**Course Number and Name:** OT 501: Leadership in OT

**Course Instructor (Last Name):** Morgan

**Date(s) of Information Literacy Instruction:** 9/27/2018

**Time(s) of Information Literacy Instruction:** 9:00-10:30am

**Location:** WML 306

**Number 4 01rr4 0-1(o)1 Ser41(era(m)-der4 ner41(eras Ru)2eg:)]1(o)1-0.9(ru)eaostn** **Summary of research**

The students in this course are tasked with conducting a historical analysis on a topic in Occupational Therapy. This involves looking at research and primary documents from the early 1900s to present day. This requires the use of many resources, such as microfilm collections and tertiary resources,

resources (online and physical) in which research

Instruction, students will be able to identify historical context, understanding how search vocabulary.

**How do they work toward meeting these outcomes?**

This session was reserved for a hands-on workshop where students were able to ask questions based on the lecture and locate resources. After the session, students did their assignment, and many came to the library to use the microfilm reader, which was introduced

Historically, assignments completed in this course have been frequently submitted to the Graduate Bonnie W. Oldham Library Research Prize competition. This application requires a faculty recommendation, which can be read for instructor feedback on student success with the assignment, and a narrative of the research process, which can be read for the student's understanding in relation to the information literacy session student learning outcomes.

**Based on your experience teaching this class and any assessment of student work you were able to do, what can you change next time to improve how you teach it? Or, what was successful that you want to be sure to do again the next time you teach it?**

In a previously submitted assessment report, I had indicated more time with the students during the session could be beneficial. After discussion with the teaching faculty of the course, I did increase my time in the classroom in order to work with the students directly. This was extremely beneficial, as I was able to address individual questions as well as identify common issues which could be answered and shared with the whole class. Also new this semester was an active learning component during the lecture, which was developed in consultation with Dr. Amy Simolo, Faculty Development Specialist. This activity involved the students briefly working in groups to use a few of the tertiary sources discussed in the lecture. This activity was successful in getting the students engaged in the lecture content and directly applying what was being taught. I would like to see this activity developed further.

---

**Information Literacy Program Learning Outcomes (PLOs) – at least one, no more than four – this information literacy instruction supports**

PLO2: Students will gain insight and understanding about diverse sources of information in order to evaluate and use resources appropriately for their information needs.

PLO4: Students will articulate the key elements in their research questions in order to develop and execute a search strategy.